

#L-3032.1

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION

*Pre-Print* RECOMMENDATION

Revocable Transfer on Death Deed: Recordation

**Note:** This is a pre-print report. The Law Revision Commission has approved the substance of this report, but minor editorial changes may be made prior to final publication.

April 2017

California Law Revision Commission  
c/o King Hall Law School  
Davis, CA 95616  
650-494-1335  
<commission@clrc.ca.gov>

## SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATION

Under existing law, a revocable transfer on death deed (“RTODD”) must be recorded. It is not entirely clear whether that requirement applies to the “Common Questions” instructional page that is part of the statutory RTODD form (the “FAQ”).

Ambiguity on that point is a problem.

At worst, it could lead to the invalidation of an otherwise valid deed, simply because the transferor failed to record the FAQ.

Even if the validity of such a deed is eventually upheld by a court, any uncertainty as to the validity of the RTODD would itself be problematic. If the legal validity of the deed is in question, a beneficiary may be unable to obtain title insurance. A quiet title action may then be required to obtain clear title. That would defeat the purpose of the RTODD as a means of transferring real property on death without the cost and delay of a judicial proceeding.

The Commission recommends that the law be revised to make clear that recordation of the FAQ is not required and that failure to record the FAQ has no effect on the validity of an RTODD. This rule would apply retroactively. This recommendation was prepared pursuant to Section 21 of Chapter 293 of the Statutes of 2015.

## REVOCABLE TRANSFER ON DEATH DEED: RECORDATION

1 The Commission has been directed to study the law that authorizes the use of a  
2 revocable transfer on death deed (“RTODD”) to transfer real property on death,  
3 outside of probate.<sup>1</sup> As part of that study, the Commission is to recommend  
4 changes to the RTODD statute to make it more effective and avoid  
5 misunderstanding.

6 The deadline for completion of the report is January 1, 2020. However the  
7 Commission has learned of one problem that warrants more immediate action. An  
8 ambiguity in the provision that requires recordation of an RTODD could have  
9 serious problematic consequences. This recommendation proposes revisions to  
10 resolve the ambiguity.

### 11 THE PROBLEM

12 In order to be legally effective, an RTODD must be recorded, within 60 days of  
13 the date that it was executed.<sup>2</sup>

14 The RTODD must be executed using a specified statutory form.<sup>3</sup> That form has  
15 two parts:

- 16 (1) A “deed” page with fillable fields, which must be completed, signed by the  
17 transferor, and notarized.<sup>4</sup> This page provides all of the necessary  
18 information and authentication required for an effective property  
19 conveyance.
- 20 (2) An “FAQ” page that provides answers to common questions about the effect  
21 of an RTODD and the procedure for executing one.<sup>5</sup> This page is purely  
22 instructional.

23 It is not entirely clear whether the provision that requires recordation of an  
24 RTODD only requires recordation of the deed page, or instead requires  
25 recordation of both the deed and FAQ pages.

26 Any uncertainty on that point could cause serious problems. First, if a court  
27 construes the statute as requiring recordation of the FAQ, any RTODD that was  
28 recorded without the FAQ could be invalidated. The property would then  
29 presumably be subject to probate, exactly what the transferor intended to avoid by  
30 executing an RTODD. Furthermore, the property could transfer to someone other

---

1. 2015 Cal. Stat. ch. 293, § 21.

2. Prob. Code § 5626(a) (“A revocable transfer on death deed is not effective unless the deed is recorded on or before 60 days after the date it was executed.”).

3. Prob. Code § 5642.

4. Prob. Code § 5642(a).

5. Prob. Code § 5642(b).

1 than the intended beneficiary (by operation of an otherwise inapplicable will or  
2 rules of intestate succession).

3 Even if the failure to record an FAQ does not invalidate an RTODD, it could  
4 cast a problematic cloud on the title of property conveyed by an RTODD. If there  
5 is any uncertainty as to the validity of such an RTODD, the beneficiary may be  
6 unable to obtain title insurance. In that situation, the beneficiary may need to  
7 pursue a quiet title action in order to obtain clear title. This judicial proceeding  
8 would add cost and delay, which the transferor specifically intended to avoid  
9 through execution of an instrument that would transfer the property on death  
10 outside of probate.

#### 11 RECOMMENDATION

12 The FAQ page provides beneficial information to persons who are considering  
13 executing an RTODD. It explains the legal consequences and limitations of the  
14 RTODD, and gives instructions on how to successfully execute one. A person who  
15 carefully reads the FAQ will make a more informed choice about whether to  
16 proceed and will be less likely to make a procedural mistake.

17 Theoretically, requiring recordation of the FAQ would increase the likelihood  
18 that a transferor will see the FAQ and have an opportunity to read it. This could  
19 reduce the incidence of error and misuse.

20 However, that possible benefit seems to be outweighed by the severity of the  
21 harm that could result if recordation of the FAQ is required as a precondition for  
22 the validity of an RTODD. No matter how prominently the statutory form warns  
23 that recordation of the FAQ is required, it seems inevitable that some transferors  
24 would erroneously fail to record the FAQ. That technical error, which would not  
25 be directly related to the effect of the RTODD or the transferor's intentions, would  
26 result in the invalidation of an otherwise valid RTODD. This would defeat the  
27 transferor's clearly expressed intentions.

28 For that reason, the Commission recommends that the law be revised to make  
29 clear that recordation of the FAQ is not required. That rule should be made  
30 retroactive, to remove any doubt about the validity of an RTODD that was  
31 recorded, without the FAQ, before the new law goes into effect.

PROPOSED LEGISLATION

1 **Prob. Code § 5626 (amended). Recordation, delivery, and acceptance**

2 SECTION 1. Section 5626 of the Probate Code is amended to read:

3 5626. (a) A revocable transfer on death deed is not effective unless the deed is  
4 recorded on or before 60 days after the date it was executed.

5 (b) The transferor is not required to deliver a revocable transfer on death deed to  
6 the beneficiary during the transferor’s life.

7 (c) The beneficiary is not required to accept a revocable transfer on death deed  
8 from the transferor during the transferor’s life.

9 (d) Subdivision (a) does not require the recordation of the “Common Questions”  
10 language that is specified in subdivision (b) of Section 5642. The failure to record  
11 that part of the statutory form has no effect on the effectiveness of a revocable  
12 transfer on death deed. This subdivision applies to all revocable transfer on death  
13 deeds executed pursuant to this part, regardless of whether the revocable transfer  
14 on death deed was executed before, on, or after the effective date of the act that  
15 added this subdivision.

16 **Comment.** Section 5626 is amended to expressly provide that recordation of the “Common  
17 Questions” portion of the statutory revocable transfer on death deed form is not required. This  
18 rule applies retroactively, to revocable transfer on death deeds that were recorded before the  
19 effective date of the new law.